# Huddersfield Colne & Holme Valleys

Outcome: **Promote** — Develop strategic catchment based solution options to address predicted risks and look for potential opportunities for partnership working

Key Catchment Statistics

|	
2020 Population Equivalent	222,892
2050 Population Equivalent	261,500
Modelled Consented Storm Overflows	99
Wastewater Pumping Stations	29
Foul and Combined Sewer Length	1,090.5km
Surface Water Sewer Length	206km
Site of Special Scientific Interest Present	Yes
Special Area of Conservation Present	No
Priority River Habitat	No
Catchment Wider Resilience Risk Band	High

Outcome Summary

Sewer Flooding Risk

By assessing our hydraulic modelling outputs or where not available, our unmodelled methodology, against our bespoke planning objective for sewer flooding, we believe this catchment represents a high risk for 2050

Storm Overflow Risk

By assessing our hydraulic modelling outputs or where not available, our unmodelled methodology, against our bespoke planning objective for Storm Overflows, we believe this catchment represents a high risk for 2050

WwTW Compliance Risk

By assessing our hydraulic modelling outputs or where not available, our unmodelled methodology, against our bespoke planning objective for WwTW Compliance risk, we believe this catchment represents low risk for 2050

Risk Based Catchment Screening

Catchment Characterisation	Bathing or Shellfish Waters	Discharge to sensitive	Discharge to sensitive	SOAF	CAF	Internal Sewer Flooding	External Sewer Flooding	Pollution Incidents	WwTW Q Compliance	WwTW DWF Compliance	Storm Overflows	Other RMA Systems	Planned Residential Development	WINEP	Sewer Collapses	Sewer Blockages	Proceed to BRAVA
Yes	No	No	No	Yes	No	Yes	Yes	Yes	No	No	Yes	No	Yes	No	Yes	Yes	YES

National Baseline Risk and Vulnerability Assessment

Internal Sewer Flooding 2020 Score	Pollution Risk 2020 Score	Sewer Collapse Risk 2020 Score	Risk of Sewer Flooding (1 in 50) 2020 Score	Risk of Sewer Flooding (1 in 50) 2050 Score	Storm Overflow Performance 2020 Score	Storm Overflow Performance 2050 Score	Risk of WwTW Compliance Failure 2020	Risk of WwTW Compliance Failure 2050
2	2	0	2	2	2	2	N/A	N/A

0 — Not Significant; 1 — Moderately Significant Risk; 2 — Very Significant

Bespoke Planning Objectives

Annualised Flooding 2020 Score	Annualised Flooding 2030 Score	Annualised Flooding 2050 Score	Overflows Performance 2020 Score	Overflows Performance 2030 Score	Overflows Performance 2050 Score	WwTW Compliance 2020 Score	WwTW Compliance 2030 Score	WwTW Compliance 2050 Score
4.5	5	5	4	4	4	2	2	2

0 — Lower Risk ... 5 — Higher Risk

YorkshireWater

Little Lepton
# Colne & Holme Valleys

Outcome: **Observe**

Did not trigger the required number of indicators in the RBCS process so therefore was not assessed against any criteria but will be reviewed in future DWMP cycles

Key Catchment Statistics

2020 Population Equivalent	32
2050 Population Equivalent	37
Modelled Consented Storm Overflows	-
Wastewater Pumping Stations	0
Foul and Combined Sewer Length	0.1km
Surface Water Sewer Length	0km
Site of Special Scientific Interest Present	No
Special Area of Conservation Present	No
Priority River Habitat	No
Catchment Wider Resilience Risk Band	Low

Outcome Summary

Sewer Flooding Risk

As this catchment did not progress through to the BRAVA stage, we have not determined a risk position for our sewer flooding planning objective

Storm Overflow Risk

As this catchment did not progress through to the BRAVA stage we have not determined a risk position for our Storm Overflow planning objective

WwTW Compliance Risk

As this catchment did not progress through to the BRAVA stage or is a descriptive works, we have not determined a risk position for our WwTW Compliance risk planning objective

Risk Based Catchment Screening

| Catchment Characterisation	Bathing or Shellfish Waters	Discharge to sensitive	Discharge to sensitive	SOAF	CAF	Internal Sewer Flooding	External Sewer Flooding	Pollution Incidents	WwTW Q Compliance	WwTW DWF Compliance	Storm Overflows	Other RMA Systems	Planned Residential Development	WINEP	Sewer Collapses	Sewer Blockages	Proceed to BRAVA
Yes	No	No	No	No	No	No	No	No	No	No	No	No	No	No	No	No	NO

National Baseline Risk and Vulnerability Assessment

Internal Sewer Flooding 2020 Score	Pollution Risk 2020 Score	Sewer Collapse Risk 2020 Score	Risk of Sewer Flooding (1 in 50) 2020 Score	Risk of Sewer Flooding (1 in 50) 2050 Score	Storm Overflow Performance 2020 Score	Storm Overflow Performance 2050 Score	Risk of WwTW Compliance Failure 2020	Risk of WwTW Compliance Failure 2050
N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A

0 Not Significant — 1 Moderately Significant Risk — 2 Very Significant

Bespoke Planning Objectives

Annualised Flooding 2020 Score	Annualised Flooding 2030 Score	Annualised Flooding 2050 Score	Overflows Performance 2020 Score	Overflows Performance 2030 Score	Overflows Performance 2050 Score	WwTW Compliance 2020 Score	WwTW Compliance 2030 Score	WwTW Compliance 2050 Score
N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A

0 Lower Risk — 1 — 2 — 3 — 4 — 5 Higher Risk

YorkshireWater

Meltham
Colne & Holme Valleys

Outcome: **Investigate**

Work to understand in more detail the size and scale of the predicted catchment risk

Key Catchment Statistics

2020 Population Equivalent	8,707
2050 Population Equivalent	10,389
Modelled Consented Storm Overflows	-
Wastewater Pumping Stations	2
Foul and Combined Sewer Length	39.2km
Surface Water Sewer Length	12.9km
Site of Special Scientific Interest Present	No
Special Area of Conservation Present	No
Priority River Habitat	No
Catchment Wider Resilience Risk Band	Low

Outcome Summary

Sewer Flooding Risk

By assessing our hydraulic modelling outputs or where not available, our unmodelled methodology, against our bespoke planning objective for sewer flooding, we believe this catchment represents a high risk for 2050

Storm Overflow Risk

By assessing our hydraulic modelling outputs or where not available, our unmodelled methodology, against our bespoke planning objective for Storm Overflows, we believe this catchment represents a high risk for 2050

WwTW Compliance Risk

By assessing our hydraulic modelling outputs or where not available, our unmodelled methodology, against our bespoke planning objective for WwTW Compliance risk, we believe this catchment represents low risk for 2050

Risk Based Catchment Screening

Catchment Characterisation	Bathing or Shellfish Waters	Discharge to sensitive	Discharge to sensitive	SOAF	CAF	Internal Sewer Flooding	External Sewer Flooding	Pollution Incidents	WwTW Q Compliance	WwTW DWF Compliance	Storm Overflows	Other RMA Systems	Planned Residential Development	WINEP	Sewer Collapses	Sewer Blockages	Proceed to BRAVA
Yes	No	No	No	No	No	Yes	Yes	No	No	No	No	No	Yes	No	Yes	Yes	YES

National Baseline Risk and Vulnerability Assessment

Internal Sewer Flooding 2020 Score	Pollution Risk 2020 Score	Sewer Collapse Risk 2020 Score	Risk of Sewer Flooding (1 in 50) 2020 Score	Risk of Sewer Flooding (1 in 50) 2050 Score	Storm Overflow Performance 2020 Score	Storm Overflow Performance 2050 Score	Risk of WwTW Compliance Failure 2020	Risk of WwTW Compliance Failure 2050
2	0	0	1	1	2	2	0	1

0 — Not Significant
1 — Moderately Significant Risk
2 — Very Significant

Bespoke Planning Objectives

Annualised Flooding 2020 Score	Annualised Flooding 2030 Score	Annualised Flooding 2050 Score	Overflows Performance 2020 Score	Overflows Performance 2030 Score	Overflows Performance 2050 Score	WwTW Compliance 2020 Score	WwTW Compliance 2030 Score	WwTW Compliance 2050 Score
2.5	3	4	4	4	4	1	1	1

0 — Lower Risk
5 — Higher Risk

YorkshireWater

Neiley
Colne & Holme Valleys

Outcome: **Promote**

Develop strategic catchment based solution options to address predicted risks and look for potential opportunities for partnership working

Key Catchment Statistics

2020 Population Equivalent	29,587
2050 Population Equivalent	33,254
Modelled Consented Storm Overflows	21
Wastewater Pumping Stations	4
Foul and Combined Sewer Length	112.1km
Surface Water Sewer Length	24.2km
Site of Special Scientific Interest Present	No
Special Area of Conservation Present	No
Priority River Habitat	No
Catchment Wider Resilience Risk Band	Medium

Outcome Summary

Sewer Flooding Risk

By assessing our hydraulic modelling outputs or where not available, our unmodelled methodology, against our bespoke planning objective for sewer flooding, we believe this catchment represents a high risk for 2050

Storm Overflow Risk

By assessing our hydraulic modelling outputs or where not available, our unmodelled methodology, against our bespoke planning objective for Storm Overflows, we believe this catchment represents a high risk for 2050

WwTW Compliance Risk

By assessing our hydraulic modelling outputs or where not available, our unmodelled methodology, against our bespoke planning objective for WwTW Compliance risk, we believe this catchment represents a moderate risk for 2050

Risk Based Catchment Screening

Catchment Characterisation	Bathing or Shellfish Waters	Discharge to sensitive	Discharge to sensitive	SOAF	CAF	Internal Sewer Flooding	External Sewer Flooding	Pollution Incidents	WwTW Q Compliance	WwTW DWF Compliance	Storm Overflows	Other RMA Systems	Planned Residential Development	WINEP	Sewer Collapses	Sewer Blockages	Proceed to BRAVA
Yes	No	No	No	Yes	No	Yes	Yes	No	No	No	No	No	Yes	No	No	Yes	YES

National Baseline Risk and Vulnerability Assessment

Internal Sewer Flooding 2020 Score	Pollution Risk 2020 Score	Sewer Collapse Risk 2020 Score	Risk of Sewer Flooding (1 in 50) 2020 Score	Risk of Sewer Flooding (1 in 50) 2050 Score	Storm Overflow Performance 2020 Score	Storm Overflow Performance 2050 Score	Risk of WwTW Compliance Failure 2020	Risk of WwTW Compliance Failure 2050
2	2	1	1	2	2	2	0	0

0 — Not Significant
1 — Moderately Significant Risk
2 — Very Significant

Bespoke Planning Objectives

Annualised Flooding 2020 Score	Annualised Flooding 2030 Score	Annualised Flooding 2050 Score	Overflows Performance 2020 Score	Overflows Performance 2030 Score	Overflows Performance 2050 Score	WwTW Compliance 2020 Score	WwTW Compliance 2030 Score	WwTW Compliance 2050 Score
4.5	5	5	4	4	4	1	2	3

0 — Lower Risk
5 — Higher Risk

YorkshireWater

Sandy Lane
Colne & Holme Valleys

**Outcome:** Observe

Key Catchment Statistics

2020 Population Equivalent	32
2050 Population Equivalent	39
Modelled Consented Storm Overflows	-
Wastewater Pumping Stations	0
Foul and Combined Sewer Length	0km
Surface Water Sewer Length	0km
Site of Special Scientific Interest Present	No
Special Area of Conservation Present	No
Priority River Habitat	No
Catchment Wider Resilience Risk Band	Low

Outcome Summary

Sewer Flooding Risk

As this catchment did not progress through to the BRAVA stage, we have not determined a risk position for our sewer flooding planning objective

Storm Overflow Risk

As this catchment did not progress through to the BRAVA stage we have not determined a risk position for our Storm Overflow planning objective

WwTW Compliance Risk

As this catchment did not progress through to the BRAVA stage or is a descriptive works, we have not determined a risk position for our WwTW Compliance risk planning objective

Risk Based Catchment Screening

| Catchment Characterisation	Bathing or Shellfish Waters	Discharge to sensitive	Discharge to sensitive	SOAF	CAF	Internal Sewer Flooding	External Sewer Flooding	Pollution Incidents	WwTW Q Compliance	WwTW DWF Compliance	Storm Overflows	Other RMA Systems	Planned Residential Development	WINEP	Sewer Collapses	Sewer Blockages	Proceed to BRAVA
Yes	No	No	No	No	No	No	No	No	No	No	No	No	No	No	No	No	NO

National Baseline Risk and Vulnerability Assessment

Internal Sewer Flooding 2020 Score	Pollution Risk 2020 Score	Sewer Collapse Risk 2020 Score	Risk of Sewer Flooding (1 in 50) 2020 Score	Risk of Sewer Flooding (1 in 50) 2050 Score	Storm Overflow Performance 2020 Score	Storm Overflow Performance 2050 Score	Risk of WwTW Compliance Failure 2020	Risk of WwTW Compliance Failure 2050
N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A

0 Not Significant — 1 Moderately Significant Risk — 2 Very Significant

Bespoke Planning Objectives

Annualised Flooding 2020 Score	Annualised Flooding 2030 Score	Annualised Flooding 2050 Score	Overflows Performance 2020 Score	Overflows Performance 2030 Score	Overflows Performance 2050 Score	WwTW Compliance 2020 Score	WwTW Compliance 2030 Score	WwTW Compliance 2050 Score
N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A

0 Lower Risk — 1 — 2 — 3 — 4 — 5 Higher Risk

YorkshireWater

Scammonden
Colne & Holme Valleys

Outcome: **Monitor** Continue to monitor all potential risks in the catchment and promote once a suitable threshold is breached


Key Catchment Statistics

2020 Population Equivalent	55
2050 Population Equivalent	63
Modelled Consented Storm Overflows	-
Wastewater Pumping Stations	1
Foul and Combined Sewer Length	1.5km
Surface Water Sewer Length	0km
Site of Special Scientific Interest Present	No
Special Area of Conservation Present	No
Priority River Habitat	No
Catchment Wider Resilience Risk Band	Low

Outcome Summary

Sewer Flooding Risk

By assessing our hydraulic modelling outputs or where not available, our unmodelled methodology, against our bespoke planning objective for sewer flooding, we believe this catchment represents low risk for 2050

Storm Overflow Risk

By assessing our hydraulic modelling outputs or where not available, our unmodelled methodology, against our bespoke planning objective for Storm Overflows, we believe this catchment represents low risk for 2050

WwTW Compliance Risk

As this catchment did not progress through to the BRAVA stage or is a descriptive works, we have not determined a risk position for our WwTW Compliance risk planning objective

Risk Based Catchment Screening

Catchment Characterisation	Bathing or Shellfish Waters	Discharge to sensitive	Discharge to sensitive	SOAF	CAF	Internal Sewer Flooding	External Sewer Flooding	Pollution Incidents	WwTW Q Compliance	WwTW DWF Compliance	Storm Overflows	Other RMA Systems	Planned Residential Development	WINEP	Sewer Collapses	Sewer Blockages	Proceed to BRAVA
Yes	No	No	No	No	Yes	No	No	No	No	No	No	No	No	No	Yes	Yes	YES

## National Baseline Risk and Vulnerability Assessment

Internal Sewer Flooding 2020 Score	Pollution Risk 2020 Score	Sewer Collapse Risk 2020 Score	Risk of Sewer Flooding (1 in 50) 2020 Score	Risk of Sewer Flooding (1 in 50) 2050 Score	Storm Overflow Performance 2020 Score	Storm Overflow Performance 2050 Score	Risk of WwTW Compliance Failure 2020	Risk of WwTW Compliance Failure 2050
0	0	2	0	0	0	0	N/A	N/A

0 — Not Significant
1 — Moderately Significant Risk
2 — Very Significant

Bespoke Planning Objectives

Annualised Flooding 2020 Score	Annualised Flooding 2030 Score	Annualised Flooding 2050 Score	Overflows Performance 2020 Score	Overflows Performance 2030 Score	Overflows Performance 2050 Score	WwTW Compliance 2020 Score	WwTW Compliance 2030 Score	WwTW Compliance 2050 Score
1	1	1.5	0	0	0	N/A	N/A	N/A

0 — Lower Risk
5 — Higher Risk

YorkshireWater

Watersgate
Colne & Holme Valleys

Outcome: Observe

Did not trigger the required number of indicators in the RBCS process so therefore was not assessed against any criteria but will be reviewed in future DWMP cycles


Key Catchment Statistics

2020 Population Equivalent	31
2050 Population Equivalent	35
Modelled Consented Storm Overflows	-
Wastewater Pumping Stations	0
Foul and Combined Sewer Length	0.1km
Surface Water Sewer Length	0km
Site of Special Scientific Interest Present	No
Special Area of Conservation Present	No
Priority River Habitat	No
Catchment Wider Resilience Risk Band	Low

Outcome Summary

Sewer Flooding Risk

As this catchment did not progress through to the BRAVA stage, we have not determined a risk position for our sewer flooding planning objective

Storm Overflow Risk

As this catchment did not progress through to the BRAVA stage we have not determined a risk position for our Storm Overflow planning objective

WwTW Compliance Risk

As this catchment did not progress through to the BRAVA stage or is a descriptive works, we have not determined a risk position for our WwTW Compliance risk planning objective

Risk Based Catchment Screening

Catchment Characterisation	Bathing or Shellfish Waters	Discharge to sensitive	Discharge to sensitive	SOAF	CAF	Internal Sewer Flooding	External Sewer Flooding	Pollution Incidents	WwTW Q Compliance	WwTW DWF Compliance	Storm Overflows	Other RMA Systems	Planned Residential Development	WINEP	Sewer Collapses	Sewer Blockages	Proceed to BRAVA
Yes	No	No	No	No	No	No	No	No	No	No	No	No	No	No	No	No	NO

## National Baseline Risk and Vulnerability Assessment

Internal Sewer Flooding 2020 Score	Pollution Risk 2020 Score	Sewer Collapse Risk 2020 Score	Risk of Sewer Flooding (1 in 50) 2020 Score	Risk of Sewer Flooding (1 in 50) 2050 Score	Storm Overflow Performance 2020 Score	Storm Overflow Performance 2050 Score	Risk of WwTW Compliance Failure 2020	Risk of WwTW Compliance Failure 2050
N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A

0 — Not Significant   1 — Moderately Significant Risk   2 — Very Significant

Bespoke Planning Objectives

Annualised Flooding 2020 Score	Annualised Flooding 2030 Score	Annualised Flooding 2050 Score	Overflows Performance 2020 Score	Overflows Performance 2030 Score	Overflows Performance 2050 Score	WwTW Compliance 2020 Score	WwTW Compliance 2030 Score	WwTW Compliance 2050 Score
N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A

0 — Lower Risk   1   2   3   4   5 — Higher Risk

YorkshireWater

Wellhouse
Colne & Holme Valleys

Outcome: **Observe**

Did not trigger the required number of indicators in the RBCS process so therefore was not assessed against any criteria but will be reviewed in future DWMP cycles

Bank Rd / Cartworth Bank Rd / Hill Top Rd

Calder / Huddersfield / Elland / Mirfield / Colne & Holme Valleys / Meltham / Holmfirth / Dearne

Key Catchment Statistics

2020 Population Equivalent	25
2050 Population Equivalent	29
Modelled Consented Storm Overflows	-
Wastewater Pumping Stations	0
Foul and Combined Sewer Length	0km
Surface Water Sewer Length	0km
Site of Special Scientific Interest Present	No
Special Area of Conservation Present	No
Priority River Habitat	No
Catchment Wider Resilience Risk Band	Low

Outcome Summary

Sewer Flooding Risk

As this catchment did not progress through to the BRAVA stage, we have not determined a risk position for our sewer flooding planning objective

Storm Overflow Risk

As this catchment did not progress through to the BRAVA stage we have not determined a risk position for our Storm Overflow planning objective

WwTW Compliance Risk

As this catchment did not progress through to the BRAVA stage or is a descriptive works, we have not determined a risk position for our WwTW Compliance risk planning objective

Risk Based Catchment Screening

Catchment Characterisation	Bathing or Shellfish Waters	Discharge to sensitive	Discharge to sensitive	SOAF	CAF	Internal Sewer Flooding	External Sewer Flooding	Pollution Incidents	WwTW Q Compliance	WwTW DWF Compliance	Storm Overflows	Other RMA Systems	Planned Residential Development	WINEP	Sewer Collapses	Sewer Blockages	Proceed to BRAVA
Yes	No	No	No	No	No	No	No	No	No	No	No	No	No	No	No	No	NO

National Baseline Risk and Vulnerability Assessment

Internal Sewer Flooding 2020 Score	Pollution Risk 2020 Score	Sewer Collapse Risk 2020 Score	Risk of Sewer Flooding (1 in 50) 2020 Score	Risk of Sewer Flooding (1 in 50) 2050 Score	Storm Overflow Performance 2020 Score	Storm Overflow Performance 2050 Score	Risk of WwTW Compliance Failure 2020	Risk of WwTW Compliance Failure 2050
N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A

0 Not Significant — 1 Moderately Significant Risk — 2 Very Significant

Bespoke Planning Objectives

Annualised Flooding 2020 Score	Annualised Flooding 2030 Score	Annualised Flooding 2050 Score	Overflows Performance 2020 Score	Overflows Performance 2030 Score	Overflows Performance 2050 Score	WwTW Compliance 2020 Score	WwTW Compliance 2030 Score	WwTW Compliance 2050 Score
N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A

0 Lower Risk — 1 — 2 — 3 — 4 — 5 Higher Risk

YorkshireWater